



Combination of MOORA and WASPAS Methods in Selecting the Best Hafidzoh

Dewi Maharani

Manajemen Informatika, STMIK Royal, Indonesia

Article Info

Article history:

Received July 9, 2021

Revised Aug 20, 2021

Accepted Sep 29, 2021

Keywords:

Decision Support System;
Combination;
Best Hafidzoh;
MOORA;
WASPAS.

ABSTRACT

The combination of the Multi-Objective Optimization method on the basis of Ratio Analysis (MOORA) and the Weight Aggregated Sum Product Assessment (WASPAS) is used by researchers in selecting the best hafidzoh so as to produce effective and efficient research objectives and make it easier for leaders to determine the best hafidzoh. Choosing the best hafidzoh is not an easy matter due to the large number of students and the large number of criteria given. Furthermore, the best students or candidates for hafidzoh are called alternatives, while the things that are assessed are called criteria. Based on the results of interviews and references that the researchers conducted, the criteria for helping the Chairperson of the Dzunnur'ain Simalungun Institute in determining the best hafidzoh were letter makhoriul, tajwid, itqon hifzd, adabul qiroah and Arabic. Meanwhile, the best alternative or candidate for hafidzoh is the total number of students in Lambaga Dzunnur 'ain, which is 30 students. Furthermore, researchers conducted analysis and calculations based on the formula of the MOORA method and the formula of the WASPAS method. The results of the analysis and calculations are that the Moora and WASPAS methods get the same best santri, namely the alternative name Santri 7, while for the second rank the alternatives obtained are santri 16 and santri 25.

This is an open access article under the [CC BY-NC](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) license.



Corresponding Author:

Dewi Maharani,
Manajemen Informatika,
STMIK Royal, Indonesia
Email: dewimaharani15@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

Tahfidz Qur'an Foundation Dzunnur'ain Simalungun is an Islamic education school that has difficulty in determining the best female students in memorizing the Qur'an. Making the right and accurate choice is not an easy thing for decision makers. because in making decisions need a lot of consideration. considerations made so that the decision is right on target. as well as much-needed data, skills in data analysis, and suitability with the methods used [1][2].

Realizing how important it is in determining the right choice of Hafiz Quran, it is necessary to make an analysis that helps leaders in making decisions, such as a Decision Support System (DSS). Decision support systems have many methods that can help each leader decide or get his choice and the decision support system that researchers use [3][4][5].

The decision support system method that the researcher uses to help solve the problems of the Tahfidz Qur'an Dzunnur'Ain Foundation in choosing the best hafidzoh is the Multi-Objective Optimization method based on Ratio Analysis (MOORA) and the Weight Aggregated Sum Product Assessment Method (WASPAS), where the researcher asks questions . answer directly to the leader in the form of student data, student scores, discuss criteria and alternatives [6][7][8].

Researchers also perform analysis and calculations according to the formulation of their respective methods. as for the value of each criterion as many as 5 criteria, namely makhorijul letters, tajwid, itqon hifzd, adabul qiroah and arabic [9].

As for the alternative, all candidates for memorizing the Qur'an are the best, meaning all students who study at the Tahfidz Qur'an Foundation Dzunnur'ain Simalungun [10].

Decision making is a process of choosing an action to achieve a goal or some desired goals. Within an organization, decision making is the result of the involvement of many things, such as a continuous process of communication and participation from the entire organization. The result of the decision can be a statement agreed between alternatives or between procedures to achieve certain goals [11][12][13].

A decision support system is a computer-based system consisting of three interconnected components, a language system (a way to provide communication between users and other components of a decision support system). Decision support systems can be used to aid in decision skills for both structured and unstructured situations, because no one knows how decisions should be made [14][15][16].

The MOORA method is applied to solve many economic, managerial and construction problems by calculating mathematical formulas with accurate results and was introduced by Brauers and Zavadskas in 2006. The MOORA procedure can be defined as optimization programming, also known as multi-criteria various types of complex decision-making problems. in a manufacturing environment. So MOORA is a process of simultaneously optimizing alternatives that are contradictory to attributes subject to certain limitations [17][3].

The advantages of the MOORA method are that it is very simple, accurate, and stable, this method does not require a mathematical expert to use it and requires very simple mathematical calculations. With the MOORA method the results obtained are more accurate and on target in helping decision making. When compared to other methods the MOORA method is simpler and easier to apply [2][11].

The Weight Aggregated Sum Product Assessment method or abbreviated as WASPAS is a method of a decision support system whose conceptual framework is to make effective decisions on complex problems by simplifying and accelerating the decision-making process by solving problems into parts and also organizing parts. section in a hierarchy and assign numeric values to the subjective [20][21]. The WASPAS method is currently very popularly used by researchers in the field of decision making because it provides accurate values [22].

2. RESEARCH METHOD

There are several methods used in research to direct this research (design) so that the researcher's predetermined goals can be achieved. Some of the research methods used by the author are action research methods where activities and or corrective actions for planning, implementation, and evaluation are handled systematically so that their validity and reliability reach the research level. Action research aims to acquire knowledge for a specific situation or target rather than generalized scientific knowledge [2][24][25].

The description of each process is as follows:

- a. Prepare a plan (planning)

At this stage the activities that must be carried out are preparing the facilities of the supporting facilities needed in the research, preparing instruments for recording and analyzing data about the process and the results of the action.

b. Carry out the action (acting).

At this stage the researcher takes actions that have been formulated, in actual situations, which include initial, core and closing activities.

c. Carrying out observations (observing)

The research stage here is the data collection stage. This stage is intended to collect the supporting data needed in the research process, namely by means of observation and interviews.

d. Reflecting

At this stage is recording the results of observations, evaluating the results of observations, analyzing learning results, noting deficiencies to be used as material for drafting designs

The data collection method in this study is to use primary and secondary data. Primary data is taken directly from the object of research that comes from the original or first source. Primary data collection techniques are carried out through observation techniques by collecting information directly to the research location to observe how the process of selecting the head of the computer laboratory by providing a laboratory assessment questionnaire in the form of a series of questions asked of respondents to get answers. Meanwhile, secondary data comes from sources that have been collected by other parties. Secondary data collection techniques are carried out by means of documentation study and literature study [9][16].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

As for the results and discussion that the researchers did in getting the best hafidzoh using the MOORA method are as follows :

Table 1.
Criteria and Alternatif MOORA Method Used

MOORA Method					
Criteria					
Alternatif	C ₁	C ₂	C ₃	C ₄	C ₅
SANTRI ₁	70	80	70	50	90
SANTRI ₂	70	70	70	90	90
SANTRI ₃	90	70	70	70	70
SANTRI ₄	70	80	70	70	70
SANTRI ₅	90	60	90	50	90
SANTRI ₆	70	70	70	90	90
SANTRI ₇	90	80	80	78	90
SANTRI ₈	90	70	90	50	90
SANTRI ₉	70	80	70	50	90
SANTRI ₁₀	70	80	70	50	90
SANTRI ₁₁	70	70	70	90	90
SANTRI ₁₂	90	70	70	70	70
SANTRI ₁₃	70	80	70	70	70
SANTRI ₁₄	90	60	90	50	90
SANTRI ₁₅	70	70	70	90	90
SANTRI ₁₆	90	80	70	70	90
SANTRI ₁₇	90	70	90	50	90
SANTRI ₁₈	70	80	70	50	90
SANTRI ₁₉	70	80	70	50	90
SANTRI ₂₀	70	70	70	90	90
SANTRI ₂₁	90	70	70	70	70
SANTRI ₂₂	70	80	70	70	70
SANTRI ₂₃	90	60	90	50	90

MOORA Method					
Alternatif	Criteria				
	C ₁	C ₂	C ₃	C ₄	C ₅
SANTRI ₂₄	70	70	70	90	90
SANTRI ₂₅	90	80	70	70	90
SANTRI ₂₆	90	70	90	50	90
SANTRI ₂₇	70	80	70	50	90
SANTRI ₂₈	70	80	70	70	70
SANTRI ₂₉	90	60	90	50	90
SANTRI ₃₀	70	70	70	90	90
Max	90	80	90	90	90
Min	70	60	70	50	70
Weight	0,15	0,2	0,16	0,12	0,11
	188600	91200	52000	42084	66500
	434,3	302,0	228,0	205,1	257,9

Table 2.
 Alternatives, Criterias and the results of calculations using the Moora method

Alternatif	Criteria					NO	Rangking
	C ₁	C ₂	C ₃	C ₄	C ₅		
SANTRI ₁	0,16	0,26	0,31	0,24	0,35	0,194	25
SANTRI ₂	0,16	0,23	0,31	0,44	0,35	0,211	4
SANTRI ₃	0,21	0,23	0,31	0,34	0,27	0,197	18
SANTRI ₄	0,16	0,26	0,31	0,34	0,27	0,197	21
SANTRI ₅	0,21	0,20	0,39	0,24	0,35	0,202	14
SANTRI ₆	0,16	0,23	0,31	0,44	0,35	0,211	4
SANTRI ₇	0,21	0,26	0,35	0,38	0,35	0,224	1
SANTRI ₈	0,21	0,23	0,39	0,24	0,35	0,208	11
SANTRI ₉	0,16	0,26	0,31	0,24	0,35	0,194	25
SANTRI ₁₀	0,16	0,26	0,31	0,24	0,35	0,194	25
SANTRI ₁₁	0,16	0,23	0,31	0,44	0,35	0,211	4
SANTRI ₁₂	0,21	0,23	0,31	0,34	0,27	0,197	18
SANTRI ₁₃	0,16	0,26	0,31	0,34	0,27	0,197	21
SANTRI ₁₄	0,21	0,20	0,39	0,24	0,35	0,202	14
SANTRI ₁₅	0,16	0,23	0,31	0,44	0,35	0,211	4
SANTRI ₁₆	0,21	0,26	0,31	0,34	0,35	0,213	2
SANTRI ₁₇	0,21	0,23	0,39	0,24	0,35	0,208	11
SANTRI ₁₈	0,16	0,26	0,31	0,24	0,35	0,194	25
SANTRI ₁₉	0,16	0,26	0,31	0,24	0,35	0,194	25
SANTRI ₂₀	0,16	0,23	0,31	0,44	0,35	0,211	4
SANTRI ₂₁	0,21	0,23	0,31	0,34	0,27	0,197	18
SANTRI ₂₂	0,16	0,26	0,31	0,34	0,27	0,197	21
SANTRI ₂₃	0,21	0,20	0,39	0,24	0,35	0,202	14
SANTRI ₂₄	0,16	0,23	0,31	0,44	0,35	0,211	4
SANTRI ₂₅	0,21	0,26	0,31	0,34	0,35	0,213	2
SANTRI ₂₆	0,21	0,23	0,39	0,24	0,35	0,208	11
SANTRI ₂₇	0,16	0,26	0,31	0,24	0,35	0,194	25
SANTRI ₂₈	0,16	0,26	0,31	0,34	0,27	0,197	21
SANTRI ₂₉	0,21	0,20	0,39	0,24	0,35	0,202	14
SANTRI ₃₀	0,16	0,23	0,31	0,44	0,35	0,211	4

As for the results and discussion that the researchers did in getting the best hafidzoh using the WASPAS method are as follows :

Table 3.
Alternatives, Criterias and the results of calculations using the WASPAS method

Alternative	Kriteria				
	C ₁	C ₂	C ₃	C ₄	C ₅
SANTRI ₁	70	80	70	50	90
SANTRI ₂	70	70	70	90	90
SANTRI ₃	90	70	70	70	70
SANTRI ₄	70	80	70	70	70
SANTRI ₅	90	60	90	50	90
SANTRI ₆	70	70	70	90	90
SANTRI ₇	90	80	80	78	90
SANTRI ₈	90	70	90	50	90
SANTRI ₉	70	80	70	50	90
SANTRI ₁₀	70	80	70	50	90
SANTRI ₁₁	70	70	70	90	90
SANTRI ₁₂	90	70	70	70	70
SANTRI ₁₃	70	80	70	70	70
SANTRI ₁₄	90	60	90	50	90
SANTRI ₁₅	70	70	70	90	90
SANTRI ₁₆	90	80	70	70	90
SANTRI ₁₇	90	70	90	50	90
SANTRI ₁₈	70	80	70	50	90
SANTRI ₁₉	70	80	70	50	90
SANTRI ₂₀	70	70	70	90	90
SANTRI ₂₁	90	70	70	70	70
SANTRI ₂₂	70	80	70	70	70
SANTRI ₂₃	90	60	90	50	90
SANTRI ₂₄	70	70	70	90	90
SANTRI ₂₅	90	80	70	70	90
SANTRI ₂₆	90	70	90	50	90
SANTRI ₂₇	70	80	70	50	90
SANTRI ₂₈	70	80	70	70	70
SANTRI ₂₉	90	60	90	50	90
SANTRI ₃₀	70	70	70	90	90
Max	90	80	90	90	90
Min	70	60	70	50	70
Weight	0,15	0,2	0,16	0,12	0,11
	20	20	20	40	20

Table 4.
Alternatives, Criterias and the results of calculations using the WASPAS method

Alternatif	kriteria				
	C ₁	C ₂	C ₃	C ₄	C ₅
SANTRI 1	0,778	1,000	0,778	0,556	1,000
SANTRI 2	0,778	0,875	0,778	1,000	1,000
SANTRI 3	1,000	0,875	0,778	0,778	0,778
SANTRI 4	0,778	1,000	0,778	0,778	0,778
SANTRI 5	1,000	0,750	1,000	0,556	1,000
SANTRI 6	0,778	0,875	0,778	1,000	1,000
SANTRI 7	1,000	1,000	0,889	0,867	1,000
SANTRI 8	1,000	0,875	1,000	0,556	1,000
SANTRI 9	0,778	1,000	0,778	0,556	1,000
SANTRI 10	0,778	1,000	0,778	0,556	1,000
SANTRI 11	0,778	0,875	0,778	1,000	1,000

Alternatif	kriteria				
	C ₁	C ₂	C ₃	C ₄	C ₅
SANTRI 12	1,000	0,875	0,778	0,778	0,778
SANTRI 13	0,778	1,000	0,778	0,778	0,778
SANTRI 14	1,000	0,750	1,000	0,556	1,000
SANTRI 15	0,778	0,875	0,778	1,000	1,000
SANTRI 16	1,000	1,000	0,778	0,778	1,000
SANTRI 17	1,000	0,875	1,000	0,556	1,000
SANTRI 18	0,778	1,000	0,778	0,556	1,000
SANTRI 19	0,778	1,000	0,778	0,556	1,000
SANTRI 20	0,778	0,875	0,778	1,000	1,000
SANTRI 21	1,000	0,875	0,778	0,778	0,778
SANTRI 22	0,778	1,000	0,778	0,778	0,778
SANTRI 23	1,000	0,750	1,000	0,556	1,000
SANTRI 24	0,778	0,875	0,778	1,000	1,000
SANTRI 25	1,000	1,000	0,778	0,778	1,000
SANTRI 26	1,000	0,875	1,000	0,556	1,000
SANTRI 27	0,778	1,000	0,778	0,556	1,000
SANTRI 28	0,778	1,000	0,778	0,778	0,778
SANTRI 29	1,000	0,750	1,000	0,556	1,000
SANTRI 30	0,778	0,875	0,778	1,000	1,000

Table 5.
Alternatives, and Rangking of calculations using the WASPAS method

Alternatif	Q			RANGKING
SANTRI 1	0,6178	0,8621	0,7399	25
SANTRI 2	0,6461	0,9007	0,7734	7
SANTRI 3	0,6283	0,8827	0,7555	18
SANTRI 4	0,6200	0,8731	0,7465	21
SANTRI 5	0,6367	0,8798	0,7582	14
SANTRI 6	0,6461	0,9007	0,7734	7
SANTRI 7	0,7062	0,9646	0,8354	1
SANTRI 8	0,6617	0,9073	0,7845	4
SANTRI 9	0,6178	0,8621	0,7399	25
SANTRI 10	0,6178	0,8621	0,7399	25
SANTRI 11	0,6461	0,9007	0,7734	7
SANTRI 12	0,6283	0,8827	0,7555	18
SANTRI 13	0,6200	0,8731	0,7465	21
SANTRI 14	0,6367	0,8798	0,7582	14
SANTRI 15	0,6461	0,9007	0,7734	7
SANTRI 16	0,6778	0,9321	0,8049	2
SANTRI 17	0,6617	0,9073	0,7845	4
SANTRI 18	0,6178	0,8621	0,7399	25
SANTRI 19	0,6178	0,8621	0,7399	25
SANTRI 20	0,6461	0,9007	0,7734	7
SANTRI 21	0,6283	0,8827	0,7555	18
SANTRI 22	0,6200	0,8731	0,7465	21
SANTRI 23	0,6367	0,8798	0,7582	14
SANTRI 24	0,6461	0,9007	0,7734	7
SANTRI 25	0,6778	0,9321	0,8049	2
SANTRI 26	0,6617	0,9073	0,7845	4
SANTRI 27	0,6178	0,8621	0,7399	25
SANTRI 28	0,6200	0,8731	0,7465	21
SANTRI 29	0,6367	0,8798	0,7582	14
SANTRI 30	0,6461	0,9007	0,7734	7

4. CONCLUSION

The combination of the MOORA and WASPAS methods provides accurate and clear results because it has the same final result, namely ranking 1 obtained by students 7. So the researchers conclude that the MOORA and WASPAS methods can be used in analyzing the data that the researchers obtained in determining Hafidzoh. The best Dzunnur'ain Simalungun foundation. The combination of the MOORA and WASPAS methods also produced the same second rank and was obtained by 2 santri or 2 alternatives, namely Santri 16 and santri 25. So that, the leadership of the dzunnur'ain Simalungun foundation can find it easier to find the best hafidzoh which can then provide rewards or awards for achievement. These rankings are also important for the leadership to know so that there is an evaluation or review of the students who are in the lowest ranks.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Lipschultz *et al.*, "Creation of a pharmacogenomics patient portal complementary to an existing institutional provider-facing clinical decision support system," *JAMIA Open*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 1–9, 2021, doi: 10.1093/jamiaopen/ooabo67.
- [2] I. P. Okokpuije, U. C. Okonkwo, C. A. Bolu, O. S. Ohunakin, M. G. Agboola, and A. A. Atayero, "Implementation of multi-criteria decision method for selection of suitable material for development of horizontal wind turbine blade for sustainable energy generation," *Heliyon*, vol. 6, no. 1, p. e03142, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e03142.
- [3] M. Suginan, Emi Suryani, Sapria, Ulandari Lubis, "Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Penerima Bantuan Siswa Miskin Menerapkan Metode WASPAS dan MOORA," *Semin. Nas. Sains Teknol. Inf.*, pp. 719–727, 2018.
- [4] L. H. Marcial, D. S. Johnston, M. R. Shapiro, S. R. Jacobs, B. Blumenfeld, and L. Rojas Smith, "A qualitative framework-based evaluation of radiology clinical decision support initiatives: Eliciting key factors to physician adoption in implementation," *JAMIA Open*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 187–196, 2019, doi: 10.1093/jamiaopen/ooz002.
- [5] R. A. Bush, A. Pérez, T. Baum, C. Etland, and C. D. Connelly, "A systematic review of the use of the electronic health record for patient identification, communication, and clinical support in palliative care," *JAMIA Open*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 294–303, 2018, doi: 10.1093/jamiaopen/oo0y028.
- [6] D. Sobari, Sumanto, and K. Indriani, "Pemilihan Santri Terbaik Menggunakan Metode Simple Additive Weighting," pp. 32–41, 2017.
- [7] J. M. Baron, R. Huang, D. McEvoy, and A. S. Dighe, "Use of machine learning to predict clinical decision support compliance, reduce alert burden, and evaluate duplicate laboratory test ordering alerts," *JAMIA Open*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 1–9, 2021, doi: 10.1093/jamiaopen/ooabo06.
- [8] S. Visweswaran *et al.*, "Evaluation of eye tracking for a decision support application," *JAMIA Open*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 1–6, 2021, doi: 10.1093/jamiaopen/ooabo59.
- [9] R. Amarullah and R. M. Simanjorang, "Planning Decision Support System Using Building Mall AHP (Analytical Hierarchy Process)," *J. Tek. Inform. C.I.T*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 26–33, 2020, [Online]. Available: www.medikom.iocspublisher.org/index.php/JTI.
- [10] A. Mutiara, "Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Pemilihan Santri Terbaik Tahfidzh Qur ' an Pada Yayasan Islamic Center Menggunakan Metode VIKOR," vol. 1, no. 2, 2020.
- [11] A. Sahren, "Selecting The Best Cow Seeds Using The Moora Method," *Int. Conf. Soc. Sci. Inf. Technol.*, vol. 4509, no. 1, pp. 1–7, 2020.
- [12] N. Sari, "Implementation of the AHP-SAW Method in the Decision Support System for Selecting the Best Tourism Village," *J. Tek. Inform. CIT Medicom*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 23–32, 2021, [Online]. Available: <https://www.medikom.iocspublisher.org/index.php/JTI/article/view/51>.
- [13] K. Kawamoto *et al.*, "Establishing a multidisciplinary initiative for interoperable electronic health record innovations at an academic medical center," *JAMIA Open*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 1–15, 2021, doi: 10.1093/jamiaopen/ooabo41.
- [14] I. M. Dwi, P. Asana, I. G. I. Sudipa, K. Ari, and P. Putra, "A Decision Support System on Employee Assessment Using Analytical Network Process (ANP) and BARS Methods," vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 1–12, 2021.
- [15] G. S. Mahendra and E. Hartono, "Implementation of AHP-MAUT and AHP-Profile Matching Methods in OJT Student Placement DSS," *J. Tek. Inform. C.I.T Medicom*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 13–22, 2021.
- [16] A. Nikas, H. Doukas, and L. Martínez López, "A group decision making tool for assessing climate policy risks against multiple criteria," *Heliyon*, vol. 4, no. 3, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2018.e00588.

- [17] L. Pérez-Domínguez, L. A. Rodríguez-Picón, A. Alvarado-Iniesta, D. Luviano Cruz, and Z. Xu, "MOORA under Pythagorean Fuzzy Set for Multiple Criteria Decision Making," *Complexity*, vol. 2018, 2018, doi: 10.1155/2018/2602376.
- [18] A. Afrisawati and S. Sahren, "Analisis Perbandingan Menggunakan Metode Moora Dan Waspas Pemilihan Bibit Sapi Potong Terbaik," *JURTEKSI (Jurnal Teknol. dan Sist. Informasi)*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 269–276, 2020, doi: 10.33330/jurteks.v6i3.827.
- [19] C. Lukita, C. Nas, and W. Ilham, "Analisis Perbandingan Metode MOORA dan Metode WASPAS Dalam Pendukung Keputusan Penentuan Prioritas Utama Peningkatan Kualitas Mata Pelajaran," *J. Nas. Teknol. dan Sist. Inf.*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 130–137, 2020, doi: 10.25077/teknosi.v5i3.2019.130-137.
- [20] M. Handayani and N. Marpaung, "Implementasi Metode Weight Aggregated Sum Product Assesment (Waspas) Dalam Pemilihan Kepala Laboratorium," *Semin. Nas. R. 2018 ISSN 2622-9986 STMIK R. R. ISSN 2622-6510*, vol. 9986, no. September, pp. 253 – 258, 2018.
- [21] K. A. Chandra and S. Hansun, "Sistem Rekomendasi Pemilihan Laptop Dengan Metode Waspas," *J. Ecotipe (Electronic, Control. Telecommun. Information, Power Eng.)*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 76–81, 2019, doi: 10.33019/ecotipe.v6i2.1019.
- [22] S. Bid and G. Siddique, "Human risk assessment of Panchet Dam in India using TOPSIS and WASPAS Multi-Criteria Decision-Making (MCDM) methods," *Heliyon*, vol. 5, no. 6, p. e01956, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e01956.
- [23] R. N. R. Elly, "THE COMPARISON OF WASPAS AND VIKOR METHODS IN ASSESSMENT OF LECTURER PERFORMANCE," *Proceeding Int. Conf. ISSN 2723-4509 Soc. Sci. Inf. Technol.*, vol. 4509, no. 1, pp. 1–7, 2020.
- [24] F. E. Ayo, J. B. Awotunde, R. O. Ogundokun, S. O. Folorunso, and A. O. Adekunle, "A decision support system for multi-target disease diagnosis: A bioinformatics approach," *Heliyon*, vol. 6, no. 3, p. e03657, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e03657.
- [25] C. E. B. Sousa, C. M. S. Medeiros, R. F. Pereira, A. A. Neto, and M. A. V. Neto, "A decision support system for fault detection and definition of the quality of wet blue goat skins," *Heliyon*, vol. 7, p. e08021, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e08021.